# **Funding Rate Arbitrage Simulation**

# **Article 1: Introduction**

## 1.1 Background and Motivation

Cryptocurrency derivatives exchanges use a mechanism known as the *funding rate* to ensure that the futures price remains close to the underlying spot price. Traders paying or receiving funding fees can create opportunities for *arbitrage* by taking positions across multiple exchanges, profiting from disbalances in funding rates.

This paper presents the results of a **funding rate arbitrage simulation** performed primarily on **Binance** and **Hyperliquid**, with expansions planned for additional centralized (CEX) and decentralized (DEX) exchanges.

# 1.2 Objectives

- 1. Demonstrate that a **delta-neutral** approach to funding rate arbitrage can yield significant annualized returns.
- 2. Evaluate the dependency of returns on:
  - Exchange liquidity, popularity, and open interest disbalances
  - Usage of different order types (market vs. limit)
  - VIP-level fee discounts
- 3. Propose scalability strategies to increase the portfolio size and adapt the arbitrage model to various market conditions.

# **Article 2: Methodology**

## 2.1 Funding Rate Arbitrage Framework

#### 2.1.1 Delta-Neutral Positioning

A delta-neutral arbitrage means that the net exposure across all trading positions is

zero or close to zero. Let  $\Delta_i$  be the delta of the i-th position. The *delta-neutrality* constraint is:

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i = 0\right]$$

For perpetual futures, being delta-neutral often involves going *long* on one exchange and *short* on another, ensuring that spot price movements have minimal net effect on the overall PnL.

#### 2.1.2 Funding Rate as a Core Profit Driver

The key profit component in this simulation comes from funding payments received. If (FR) is the funding rate for a given perpetual contract and (Q) is the contract size in USD, then the *funding payment* earned per interval ( $\Delta t$ ) (e.g., every 8 hours) can be approximated as:

[Funding PnL = 
$$(FR \times Q) \times \Delta t$$
]

Our algorithm systematically identifies and exploits the funding disbalances across exchanges (e.g., Binance, Hyperliquid, GMX, ByBit, Paradex).

#### 2.2 Data Sources

#### 1. Original Historical Funding Rate Data

- Collected from Binance and Hyperliquid.
- Validated with public APIs and internal data manager modules.

#### 2. Projected Usage of Limit Orders and Higher VIP Status

 Data extrapolated from current fee schedules and typical market behaviors at different VIP tiers.

# 2.3 Avoiding Manipulation and Quick Fluctuations

An advanced filtering algorithm was developed to ignore short-term funding rate "spikes" often caused by low-liquidity conditions or manipulative practices. This approach reduces *false positives* and stabilizes the strategy's PnL profile.

# **Article 3: Results**

## 3.1 Historical Basic Simulation



**Chart 1**: Demonstrates the performance of the algorithm *without* enhancements, using Binance and Hyperliquid exchanges.

# 3.2 Historical Simulation With Improvements

• 2023: +235% annualized return

• 2024: +95% annualized return

These returns are based on simulation results and *projected usage of limit orders* to reduce fees, as well as the assumption of *higher VIP status* that grants lower trading fees.



**Chart 2**: Demonstrates the performance of the algorithm *with* enhancements, including the use of limit orders and higher VIP status on exchanges.

# 3.3 Role of Exchange Liquidity and Popularity

- Small Exchanges (GMX, Hyperliquid, Paradex)

  Majority of profits were generated on these platforms due to *larger funding*rate disbalances and relative illiquidity.
- Main Exchanges (Binance, ByBit)
   Primarily used for hedging. These venues offer deeper liquidity, reducing slippage risks, but tend to have more balanced funding rates.

# 3.4 Projections for Bullish vs. Bearish Markets

- Bullish Market: 100-150% annualized returns
- Bearish Market: 50–100% annualized returns

The algorithm remains adaptable by focusing on funding rather than outright market direction.

# **Article 4: Scalability**

## 4.1 Current and Future Scalability Goals

Based on internal *stress-testing* and *portfolio optimization* models, our analysis projects the following scale-up potential:

- \$100M under management by 2025
- \$1B under management by 2026-2027

### 4.2 Main Directions for Scalability

#### 1. Connect New Exchanges

- Major exchanges for reliable hedging
- Smaller emerging exchanges for higher profit opportunities

#### 2. Extend Existing Alpha Algorithms

 Increase diversification by simultaneously opening 50+ positions across different exchanges

#### 3. Utilize Various Order Types

- Market Orders for fast execution
- Limit Orders to reduce fees and improve profitability

# 4.3 Potential for High Returns

Even larger exchanges such as Binance and ByBit have *open interest disbalances* that can be tapped for moderate returns. Meanwhile, smaller or newly emerging exchanges may yield *up to 300% annualized* under favorable conditions.

# **Article 5: Security**

## 5.1 Security Protocols and Measures

- 1. Handling potential exchange outages or failures by quickly de-risking positions in automatic and semi-automatic way.
- 2. Execution by chunks to minimize market impact, lower slippage and increase execution control.
- 3. Plans to perform security audits on codebase.
- 4. 24/7 monitoring team. Dedicated staff continuously tracking the algorithm's performance and market conditions.

## **Article 6: Current Status**

## 6.1 Connected Exchanges

- Binance
- Hyperliquid

# **6.2 Ongoing Integrations**

GMX, ByBit, and Paradex
 Will be ready for live trading by January 2025.

## 6.3 Execution Approach

 Currently using market orders to ensure positions open quickly without missing funding rate opportunities.

# **Article 7: Future Plans**

- 1. Increase VIP statuses on exchanges to leverage lower fees.
- 2. Add limit orders to reduce fees and possibly capture better price executions.

- 3. Integrate more CEXes and DEXes to further diversify funding sources.
- 4. Extend current analytical components to incorporate *artificial price moves* detection, pump detections, and on-chain analysis.
- 5. Perform regular security audits and maintain a robust monitoring framework.

# **Article 8: Technology Background**

Our system is structured into multiple *modular services* that handle everything from strategy generation to real-time risk management and execution:

#### 1. Alpha Miner

Generation and validation of new strategies.

#### 2. Portfolio Builder

Construction, validation, and optimization of multi-asset portfolios.

#### 3. Trade Engine

Strategy backtesting, stress-testing, and live calculation of signals.

#### 4. 300 Analytical Components

Comprehensive market analytics for identifying profitable patterns.

#### 5. Trade Connector

Execution signals on live trading accounts across integrated exchanges.

#### 6. Data Manager

Real-time data streaming and historical data warehousing.

#### 7. Risk Manager

 Real-time control of risk parameters (stop losses, max risk per strategy/account).

#### 8. Trade Monitoring

24/7 real-time monitoring of open positions and system performance.

### 9. Execution Analyzer

Live simulation and post-trade execution analysis to minimize slippage.

### 10. Account Manager

Financial accounting module for tracking trading accounts.

### 11. Analytical Dashboard

Visualization of performance metrics and relevant trading data.

#### 12. Trade Metrics

Calculation of investment and financial metrics (e.g., Sharpe ratio, ROI).

#### 13. Charts Visualizer

 Technical and trading data overlay on price charts for enhanced decisionmaking.

#### 14. Exchange Connectors

Currently have ~5 connectors, with potential to expand.

# **Article 9: Conclusion**

This paper demonstrates that **funding rate arbitrage** can yield substantial returns through a **delta-neutral** approach, particularly when capturing *disbalances* on less liquid or newer exchanges. With the infrastructure for multi-exchange connectivity, robust risk management, and continuous technology enhancements, our simulation results suggest a strong potential for:

- Sustained, high annualized returns (100–300% in optimal scenarios).
- Large-scale deployment (up to \$1B in assets under management by 2026-2027).
- Ongoing improvements in **security**, **diversification**, and **algorithmic intelligence**.

The combination of **market orders** and **limit orders**, alongside high VIP status accounts, further reduces execution costs, making funding rate arbitrage an attractive and scalable strategy under both bullish and bearish market conditions.